

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JEROME H. SPRAGUE, et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 JUSTICE HARRY E. HULL, PRESIDING  
15 JUSTICE VANCE W. RAYE,

16 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-2273-KJM-JDP (PS)

ORDER

17 On August 16, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which  
18 were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and  
19 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

20 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,  
21 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed  
22 de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by  
23 the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court  
24 . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be  
25 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.


26 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 27 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed August 16, 2021, are ADOPTED;  
28 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss, ECF No. 5, is granted;

1           3. Plaintiffs' complaint, ECF No. 1, is dismissed with prejudice; and

2           4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

3 DATED: September 30, 2021.

4  
5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28